

The Human Shield: Countering Europe's Demographic Deficit Through Total Defense and Societal Hardening

Author: Mohubbat Ahmadov

Academic Program: Master's Degree in Public Relations Management

Affiliation: Mykolas Romeris University (MRU)

Contest: The 8th Annual Dr. Karl A. Lamers Peace-Prize (2026)

Submission Date: 31 July 2026

Chapter I: The Hardware Illusion

Contemporary debates on strengthening NATO's European pillar increasingly focus on defense spending, industrial production, and military procurement. As uncertainty grows regarding the long-term reliability of American security guarantees, European governments have concentrated on increasing defense budgets, expanding ammunition production, and acquiring advanced military platforms. While these measures are essential, they overlook a deeper structural problem: Europe's demographic decline. Modern weapon systems require trained personnel to operate, maintain, and sustain them. An aging society with shrinking working-age populations cannot rely indefinitely on manpower-intensive defense models, regardless of how sophisticated its equipment becomes.¹

Security therefore cannot be measured solely by the number of tanks, missiles, or fighter aircraft purchased. Modern warfare increasingly targets civilian infrastructure, digital networks, and public trust rather than conventional battlefields alone. If Europe's strategic response remains centered exclusively on military procurement, it risks creating an illusion of preparedness while leaving its societies vulnerable to disruption. A resilient defense posture must therefore integrate civilian readiness with military capability, transforming society itself into a source of deterrence.

¹ Bargués-Pedreny, P., 2020. *Deferring Peace in International Statebuilding: Difference, Resilience and Critique*. London: Routledge, pp. 45–48.

Traditional defense planning continues to reflect twentieth-century assumptions in which industrial production and mass mobilization determined military success.² Today, however, operational effectiveness depends equally on secure energy systems, resilient communications, functioning logistics, cyber defense, and public confidence in state institutions. Advanced weapon systems cannot perform effectively if supporting civilian infrastructure collapses under cyberattacks or coordinated sabotage. Consequently, national resilience becomes as important as military modernization.

Modern adversaries increasingly exploit weaknesses below the threshold of conventional war. Cyberattacks, disinformation campaigns, attacks on critical infrastructure, and economic coercion seek to weaken societies without direct military confrontation. A defense strategy focused exclusively on military hardware leaves these vulnerabilities insufficiently addressed. Genuine deterrence therefore requires strengthening the resilience of civilian institutions alongside military capabilities.

Military procurement also creates a misleading perception of rapid progress. Announcing major defense contracts demonstrates political commitment, yet sophisticated equipment often requires years before becoming operational. Without trained personnel, secure supply chains, and resilient domestic infrastructure, expensive military assets contribute little to practical deterrence. Measuring security primarily through financial investment rather than societal preparedness confuses expenditure with actual defensive capability.

Chapter II: The Demographics of Vulnerability

Europe's demographic trajectory presents one of the most significant long-term challenges to conventional defense planning. Fertility rates across most European countries remain below replacement level, while populations continue to age rapidly. Eurostat projects that the proportion of working-age citizens will steadily decline relative to retirees over the coming decades, placing increasing pressure on labor markets and public finances.³

²Eurostat, 2025. *Population Projections in the EU (EUROPOP2025)*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, pp. 1–12.

³Nichiporuk, B., 2000. *The Security Dynamics of Demographic Factors*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, pp. 33–38.

This demographic transformation directly affects military readiness. First, fewer young adults are available for military recruitment each year. Second, armed forces must compete with the private sector for highly skilled professionals in cybersecurity, engineering, logistics, healthcare, and information technology. Expanding traditional standing armies or restoring large-scale conscription therefore becomes increasingly difficult economically and politically.

At the same time, hybrid threats increasingly target civilian society rather than military formations alone. Cyberattacks against energy systems, transportation networks, financial institutions, and public administration can paralyze a country regardless of the strength of its armed forces. When defense is viewed solely as the responsibility of professional soldiers, civilian institutions often remain unprepared for these disruptions. Consequently, societies become vulnerable despite maintaining capable military forces.

Demographic decline also weakens traditional reserve systems established during the Cold War. Previous generations benefited from large birth cohorts capable of sustaining extensive reserve forces through compulsory military service. Today's smaller cohorts cannot maintain comparable reserve numbers without imposing substantial economic costs by removing young workers from already constrained labor markets.

Aging populations also reshape political priorities. As healthcare, pensions, and social services consume larger shares of public expenditure, maintaining long-term defense spending becomes politically challenging. Defense investments framed only as military expenditures increasingly compete with domestic welfare priorities. By contrast, investments that strengthen hospitals, emergency communications, energy resilience, and municipal preparedness contribute simultaneously to public safety and national security, making defense spending more politically sustainable.

Viewing resilience infrastructure as part of national defense therefore broadens public support while directly strengthening the state's capacity to withstand both military and hybrid threats.

Chapter III: Scaling the Total Defense Architecture and the Ankara Framework

Addressing Europe's demographic constraints requires a different security model. Rather than attempting to compensate for declining manpower through larger standing armies alone, European countries should expand the concept of Total Defense, already well established in Finland and Sweden. Under this model, national security becomes a shared responsibility involving government institutions, private industry, civil society, and individual citizens.

Finland integrates critical private-sector companies into national contingency planning, ensuring that energy suppliers, telecommunications providers, logistics companies, and food distributors maintain crisis operating procedures. Sweden has similarly strengthened its Psychological Defence Agency to improve public resilience against foreign disinformation and influence operations. These initiatives recognize that resilient societies are more difficult to destabilize than those relying exclusively on military protection.

The importance of resilience received renewed attention during the NATO Summit in Ankara on 7–8 July 2026.⁴ The summit emphasized increased defense spending, expanded industrial production, missile defense, and modernization of military infrastructure. These commitments significantly strengthened NATO's conventional capabilities and reinforced Europe's responsibility for its own security.

However, the Ankara Declaration primarily emphasized military capacity rather than comprehensive societal resilience. While increased procurement improves conventional deterrence, it cannot by itself ensure that societies remain functional during prolonged hybrid attacks targeting civilian infrastructure. Hardware alone cannot guarantee resilience if energy systems, communications, transportation networks, or public administration fail under sustained pressure.

The Nordic experience demonstrates that deterrence extends beyond military power. Citizens trained to respond during emergencies, maintain local services, support municipal authorities,

⁴ NATO, 2024. *Resilience, Civil Preparedness and Article 3*. NATO Topic Briefing. Brussels: NATO Press Office.

and resist information manipulation increase the overall resilience of the state. Such preparedness reduces opportunities for adversaries to exploit civilian vulnerabilities during crises. Expanding Total Defense across Europe will require institutional reforms, particularly in countries that dismantled Cold War civil defense systems after the collapse of the Soviet Union.⁵ Governments should establish legal frameworks requiring critical infrastructure operators to maintain contingency plans, participate in regular resilience exercises, and coordinate with national authorities during emergencies. Educational institutions should incorporate civil preparedness into public education, while municipalities strengthen cooperation between emergency services and defense organizations.

Private companies must also become active partners in resilience planning. Since essential sectors such as energy, finance, transportation, and digital infrastructure are largely privately operated, governments should encourage or require these organizations to maintain redundant systems, participate in national emergency exercises, and develop crisis management capabilities. Integrating public and private sectors creates supply chains capable of functioning even during prolonged disruption, strengthening both civilian resilience and military readiness.

Chapter IV: Neutralizing Fault Lines through Social Cohesion

Europe's demographic transformation affects more than military recruitment. Aging societies also face increasing political, social, and generational divisions that can weaken national resilience. Differences in economic priorities, political attitudes, and digital literacy create vulnerabilities that external actors can exploit through disinformation, cyber operations, and psychological warfare.⁶ Modern hybrid campaigns rarely seek immediate military victory; instead, they aim to erode public confidence, undermine democratic institutions, and weaken support for collective defense.

A comprehensive security strategy must therefore strengthen social cohesion alongside military preparedness. Total Defense offers an effective framework because it transforms national security into a shared civic responsibility rather than a task reserved exclusively for professional armed

⁵ Jaitner, M., Odell, A. and Zouave, E., 2020. *Electromagnetic Threats Against Wireless Systems and Total Defense Readiness*. FOI Report FOI-R--4838--SE. Stockholm: Swedish Defence Research Agency (FOI), pp. 10–18.

⁶ Emmott, B., 2017. *The Fate of the West: The Battle to Save the World's Most Successful Political Idea*. London: Profile Books, pp. 110–114.

forces. When citizens participate in preparedness activities, emergency planning, and resilience training, they develop stronger trust in public institutions and greater confidence in their communities.

One of the greatest strengths of this model is its ability to connect different generations. Older citizens possess professional experience, organizational knowledge, and historical memory that remain valuable during crises. Younger generations contribute digital skills, technological adaptability, and familiarity with emerging communication systems. Combining these complementary strengths creates a more resilient society than either generation could achieve independently.

Municipal resilience groups could bring together volunteers from different professional and age groups to prepare for emergencies before crises occur. These local organizations would receive training in emergency communications, first aid, logistics, cybersecurity awareness, and crisis coordination. During natural disasters, cyberattacks, or hybrid operations, they could assist local authorities in distributing supplies, supporting vulnerable populations, maintaining communications, and providing reliable information.

Such cooperation also strengthens resistance against disinformation. Foreign influence campaigns frequently exploit isolation, distrust, and political polarization. Citizens who regularly cooperate with neighbors, emergency services, and local authorities are less likely to believe false narratives that portray public institutions as ineffective or illegitimate. Practical participation creates trust through experience rather than political messaging.

Psychological resilience has become a central element of modern deterrence. States capable of maintaining public confidence during prolonged crises deny adversaries one of their principal objectives: creating panic and political instability. Consequently, social cohesion should be understood not merely as a social objective but as an operational component of national security. Total Defense also generates benefits beyond crisis situations. Community preparedness programs reduce social isolation among older citizens, encourage civic participation among younger generations, and strengthen relationships between local governments and residents. These improvements contribute to stronger democratic societies while simultaneously increasing national resilience.

Educational institutions have an important role in this process. Schools and universities can integrate emergency preparedness, media literacy, cybersecurity awareness, and civic responsibility into existing curricula without militarizing education. Such initiatives encourage citizens to understand how their individual skills contribute to national resilience while reinforcing democratic values and responsible civic engagement.

In addition, governments should expand public information campaigns that explain the nature of hybrid threats in accessible language. Citizens who understand how cyberattacks, disinformation, economic coercion, and infrastructure sabotage operate are better equipped to recognize manipulation and respond calmly during crises. Public awareness therefore becomes another layer of deterrence.

Chapter V: Institutionalizing the Civic Duty Collective

Building societal resilience requires permanent institutions rather than temporary emergency programs. European governments should establish mechanisms that integrate civilian expertise into national preparedness while preserving democratic accountability and individual freedoms.⁷

A key element is the creation of a National Civilian Skill Registry. Unlike traditional military reserve systems, which primarily record former military personnel, such a registry would identify professional expertise across society. Engineers, cybersecurity specialists, medical personnel, logistics managers, transportation experts, communications professionals, and energy specialists all possess skills that become indispensable during emergencies.

Participation would enable governments to identify qualified personnel rapidly during major crises without disrupting civilian life unnecessarily. Rather than assigning individuals to military roles unrelated to their expertise, the registry would match professional qualifications with appropriate civil defense responsibilities. A cybersecurity engineer, for example, contributes

⁷ Binnendijk, H. and Hamilton, D.S., 2022. *Strategic Responsibility: Rebalancing European and Trans-Atlantic Defense*. Washington D.C.: Transatlantic Leadership Network / Atlantic Council, pp. 12–15

greater strategic value by protecting digital infrastructure than by serving in a conventional infantry unit.

To complement this registry, governments should modernize national service programs. Instead of relying exclusively on traditional military conscription, states could introduce flexible civic service pathways that allow participants to develop specialized skills while remaining connected to civilian careers. Participants might choose training in cybersecurity, emergency medicine, disaster response, logistics, infrastructure protection, or strategic communications according to their interests and professional backgrounds.

These programs should be designed to minimize disruption to education and employment. Short intensive training periods followed by regular refresher exercises would allow citizens to maintain readiness without leaving the workforce for extended periods. Employers should receive legal guidance and appropriate incentives to support employee participation in resilience exercises, recognizing such service as a contribution to national security.

Public trust remains essential for the success of any registry or civic service model. Governments must therefore establish clear legal safeguards protecting privacy, limiting data collection to essential information, and ensuring independent oversight of all resilience programs. Citizens are more likely to participate voluntarily when transparency, accountability, and democratic oversight are guaranteed.

Civil preparedness should also create tangible personal benefits. Participants who complete specialized training could receive nationally recognized certifications in emergency management, cybersecurity, crisis communications, or disaster response. These qualifications would strengthen career opportunities while simultaneously increasing national resilience. Linking professional development with civic responsibility creates incentives that benefit individuals, employers, and society alike.

The European Union and NATO could further encourage cooperation by promoting common standards for resilience training and mutual recognition of professional certifications. Although each member state would retain responsibility for organizing its own programs, shared standards

would improve interoperability during multinational crises and facilitate cross-border cooperation when disasters or hybrid attacks affect multiple countries simultaneously.

Finally, governments should conduct regular national resilience exercises involving public authorities, emergency services, private companies, educational institutions, and volunteer organizations. These exercises would test crisis coordination, identify weaknesses before emergencies occur, and strengthen cooperation among institutions that must work together under real operational conditions.

Chapter VI: Strategic Recommendations

Europe's long-term security depends not only on military modernization but also on its ability to adapt to demographic realities. Declining birth rates and aging populations make it increasingly difficult to sustain traditional manpower-intensive defense models. Continuing to rely primarily on larger military budgets and expanded procurement risks overlooking the societal foundations upon which effective deterrence ultimately depends.

Total Defense offers a sustainable alternative by transforming citizens from passive beneficiaries of security into active contributors to national resilience. Integrating military capabilities with resilient institutions, informed communities, and prepared civilian professionals creates a broader and more adaptable defense architecture capable of responding to both conventional and hybrid threats.

Three practical steps would help implement this transformation.

First, NATO members should adopt common standards for measuring civilian resilience alongside traditional military capability targets. National reporting should include preparedness indicators such as emergency communications, healthcare resilience, infrastructure redundancy, cybersecurity readiness, and public preparedness. These metrics would complement existing defense planning and provide a more comprehensive assessment of national resilience.

Second, governments should establish National Civilian Skill Registries supported by flexible civic service programs. Matching civilian expertise with crisis-response responsibilities would strengthen national preparedness while avoiding the economic costs associated with expanding

permanent military forces. Modern service models should remain compatible with higher education and private-sector employment.

Third, investment should increasingly support municipal resilience infrastructure. Local authorities require reliable emergency communications, backup energy systems, protected digital networks, medical preparedness, and emergency supply capabilities to maintain essential services during prolonged disruptions. Since municipalities often experience the first effects of hybrid attacks, strengthening local resilience enhances national security as a whole.

Ultimately, Europe's demographic challenge should not be viewed solely as a weakness but as an opportunity to rethink defense for the twenty-first century. Military power remains indispensable, yet it is no longer sufficient on its own. A resilient society capable of maintaining public services, protecting critical infrastructure, resisting disinformation, and mobilizing civilian expertise provides a form of deterrence that complements conventional armed forces rather than replacing them.

Strengthening NATO's European pillar therefore requires more than acquiring additional military hardware. It demands a strategic shift toward societal resilience in which governments, private industry, local communities, and individual citizens share responsibility for national security. By adopting Total Defense as a guiding principle, Europe can transform demographic constraints into a foundation for long-term stability, democratic resilience, and credible deterrence.

References

Bargués-Pedreny, P., 2020. *Deferring Peace in International Statebuilding: Difference, Resilience and Critique*. London: Routledge.

Binnendijk, H. and Hamilton, D.S., 2022. *Strategic Responsibility: Rebalancing European and Trans-Atlantic Defense*. Washington D.C.: Transatlantic Leadership Network / Atlantic Council.

Emmott, B., 2017. *The Fate of the West: The Battle to Save the World's Most Successful Political Idea*. London: Profile Books.

Eurostat, 2025. *Population Projections in the EU (EUROPOP2025)*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

Jaitner, M., Odell, A. and Zouave, E., 2020. *Electromagnetic Threats Against Wireless Systems and Total Defense Readiness*. FOI Report FOI-R--4838--SE. Stockholm: Swedish Defence Research Agency (FOI).

NATO, 2024. *Resilience, Civil Preparedness and Article 3*. NATO Topic Briefing. Brussels: NATO Press Office.

Nichiporuk, B., 2000. *The Security Dynamics of Demographic Factors*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation.